



Agenda

Notice of a public meeting of

Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee

- To:** Councillors Richard Musgrave (Chairman),
Andy Paraskos (Vice-Chair), Karl Arthur,
Stephanie Duckett, Mel Hobson, Mike Jordan,
Andrew Lee, Cliff Lunn, Don MacKay, John McCartney,
Chris Pearson, Cliff Trotter; together with co-opted
Member Howard Ferguson.
- Date:** Friday, 11th June, 2021
- Time:** 10.00 am
- Venue:** Remote Meeting via Microsoft Teams

Under his delegated decision making powers in the Officers' Delegation Scheme in the Council's Constitution, the Chief Executive Officer has power, in cases of emergency, to take any decision which could be taken by the Council, the Executive or a committee. Following on from the expiry of the Local Authorities and Police and Crime Panels (Coronavirus) (Flexibility of Local Authority and Police and Crime Panel Meetings) (England and Wales) Regulations 2020, which allowed for committee meetings to be held remotely, the County Council resolved at its meeting on 5 May 2021 that, for the present time, in light of the continuing Covid-19 pandemic circumstances, remote live-broadcast committee meetings should continue, with any formal decisions required being taken by the Chief Executive Officer under his emergency decision making powers and after consultation with other Officers and Members as appropriate and after taking into account any views of the relevant Committee Members. This approach will be reviewed by full Council at its July meeting.

The meeting will be available to view once the meeting commences, via the following link –

www.northyorks.gov.uk/livemeetings

Recordings of previous live broadcast meetings are also available there.

Business

- 1. Welcome by the Chairman - Introductions, Apologies and Updates**
- 2. Minutes of the Meeting held on 9th April 2021** (Pages 3 - 12)
- 3. Declarations of Interest**

Enquiries relating to this agenda please contact Stephen Loach Tel: 01609 532216
or e-mail stephen.loach@northyorks.gov.uk

Website: www.northyorks.gov.uk

4. Public Questions or Statements

Members of the public may ask questions or make statements at this meeting if they have given notice and provided the text to Stephen Loach of Democratic Services (contact details below) no later than midday on Tuesday 8 June 2021. Each speaker should limit themselves to 3 minutes on any item. Members of the public who have given notice will be invited to speak:-

- at this point in the meeting if their questions/statements relate to matters which are not otherwise on the Agenda (subject to an overall time limit of 30 minutes);
- when the relevant Agenda item is being considered if they wish to speak on a matter which is on the Agenda for this meeting.

5. Appointments to Local Bodies - Report of the Assistant Chief Executive (Legal and Democratic Services) (Pages 13 - 18)

6. COVID 19 - Executive Update - Verbal update by the Leader of the Council and Executive Members

7. Initial Approach to Economic Recovery Following the Pandemic - Report of the Assistant Director, Growth Planning and Trading Standards (Pages 19 - 22)

8. Flood Risk - Report of Development Control and Flood Risk Management (Pages 23 - 26)

9. Update on Selby District Places and Movement Study - Report of Transport Planning, Highways and Transportation (Pages 27 - 30)

10. A19 - Update - Verbal report of the Area Highways Manager

11. Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee Work Programme - Report of the Assistant Chief Executive (Legal and Democratic Services) (Pages 31 - 36)

12. Next Meeting

The next meeting of the Committee will be held on Friday 24th September 2021 at 10am.

13. Other business which the Chairman agrees should be considered as a matter of urgency because of special circumstances

Barry Khan
Assistant Chief Executive
(Legal and Democratic Services)
County Hall
Northallerton

3 June 2021

For all enquiries relating to this agenda or to register to speak at the meeting, please contact Stephen Loach, Democratic Services Officer on Tel: 01609 532216 or by e-mail at: stephen.loach@northyorks.gov.uk

North Yorkshire County Council

Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee

Minutes of the meeting of the Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee held virtually via Microsoft Teams on 9th April 2021 at 10 am.

Present:-

Members:-

County Councillors Richard Musgrave (Chairman), Karl Arthur, Stephanie Duckett, Mel Hobson, Mike Jordan, Andrew Lee, Cliff Lunn, Don Mackay, Andy Paraskos, Chris Pearson and Cliff Trotter; together with Co-opted Member, Howard Ferguson.

Invited Executive Members:-

County Councillors David Chance, Caroline Dickinson Michael Harrison and Carl Les.

Officers:-

Sharon Fox (Area Highways), Steve Loach (Democratic Services), James Malcom (Area Highways Manager), Tom Jenkinson and Liz Meade (Stronger Communities Service Delivery Managers for the Constituency Area) and Chris Reynolds (SEND Provision and Resources Manager)

Apologies were received from County Councillor John McCartney

Copies of all documents considered are in the Minute Book

86. Chairman's Introduction

The Chairman welcomed Members to the meeting including the local MP, Nigel Adams and Members of the Executive that were in attendance. He noted that the meeting was being live broadcast and explained the protocols in relation to that.

87. Minutes

Resolved -

That the Minutes of the meeting held on 15 January 2021, having been printed and circulated, be taken as read and confirmed and would be signed by the Chairman as a correct record at the next available opportunity.

88. Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest at this stage of the meeting.

89. Public Questions or Statements

There were no public questions or statements.

90. Nigel Adams, MP - Update and discussion

Constituency MP, Nigel Adams MP provided an update on issues affecting the Constituency Area and discussed issues of relevance with Members of the Committee.

Initially he highlighted the following issues:-

- His main focus, within the Constituency, was the recovery from the COVID 19 pandemic.
- Overall, despite the initial shock of the pandemic, there had been a good response to the issues that arose in North Yorkshire, with all local authorities, including NYCC and Selby, making valuable contributions.
- He had been maintaining contact with all relevant organisations, bodies, authorities and businesses throughout the pandemic.
- He noted that, recently, there had been a small spike in the COVID levels in Selby, which had been identified and addressed accordingly.
- The vaccination programme continued to make good progress throughout the constituency area, with a good uptake from the local communities, and second jabs now well underway. He praised those involved with delivering the vaccines for a efficient and effective process.
- There would be long term impacts on finances, business and health. Many businesses had been affected and he hoped that the furlough scheme would help many of them to survive, but he was fully aware that some were coping better than others, because of the necessary restrictions for some sectors.
- The Selby area had been awarded £4.5m through the Government's Culture/Heritage recovery fund, to assist with the provision of outdoor events.
- The creation of a Freeport, nearby, had been delivered and would assist in creating additional jobs in the area.
- He had been working closely with Drax Power Station who had now extended their biomass energy production, meaning that coal was no longer used to produce power there. There were also further developments with their Carbon Capture programme.
- The A19 remained closed following the flooding in February 2020 (an update would be provided later in the meeting). Good progress was being made on the repair of the road, but emphasis was being put on trying to finish ahead of schedule in view of the undue pressure that had been put on local communities, due to the lengthy closure of the road.
- He stated that £11m had been secured for a flood alleviation scheme at Tadcaster, and a scheme for that was awaited from the Environment Agency.

Following the initial details outlined by the MP, Members undertook a discussion with him, and the following issues and points were raised:-

- Issues relating to the Police and Crime Bill were highlighted and it was noted that some concerns had been raised regarding the dilution of the right to protest. The MP emphasised that the Bill was being introduced to address some specific safety issues, would only be used in exceptional circumstances and would still provide opportunities to protest.
- It was asked why the country was not opening up more quickly as it had been reported that we were nearing a "herd immunity" level in terms of COVID 19. Nigel Adams MP stated that the country was beginning to open up, following the "Road Map" outlined by the Prime Minister, but the re-opening had to be monitored carefully at each stage, therefore, a degree of patience was required.
- A Member highlighted the proposed rise in Corporation Tax and suggested that this was badly timed and considered that lowering taxes would be more

appropriate to assist economic recovery. The MP stated that the UK would still have the second lowest Corporation Tax of the G7 countries, even with the rise, however, he hoped the rise was not necessary, but recognised the need for the Chancellor to address the borrowing that had taken place in view of the pandemic.

- It was asked whether any additional funding would be available from Central Government to assist the Olympia Park development. The MP noted that the Government had provided funding for infrastructure in respect of that development but that had not been utilised as yet. A Member noted that there were proposed phased works due to commence, to use that funding, and he would inform other Members of those outside of the meeting.
- A Member highlighted the cessation of the emergency legislation by the Government on 7th May, which would end the ability for Local Authorities to hold formal, virtual meetings, and stated that the MPs support for maintaining the ability to hold such meetings would be welcomed. In response Mr Adams stated that he fully supported the use of virtual meetings and had responded to the MHCLG outlining his support for these continuing.
- It was noted that the new SEND School for the Selby area appeared to have been hit with delays following the appointment of the Trust and it was asked whether the MP could assist in speeding the process up. The Chairman noted that this matter was on the agenda and would be discussed later in the meeting, and he would provide the MP with an update following that. Mr Adams stated that, if he could assist in any way he would.
- A Member raised concerns regarding the predominance and affordability of housing in the area, particularly for those trying to make the initial step onto the housing ladder. He noted that there was a great deal of house building taking place in the Selby District but much of this was out of reach for first time buyers, and asked how the Government could address this position. In response the MP stated that he agreed with the issue raised, but emphasised that house building had to follow the correct planning process, which had been effective in the Selby area and a good community led plan was in place. In terms of affordability Government initiatives were attempting to ease the burden for first time buyers.
- A Member highlighted that while the Constituency area was at the forefront of new house building this was not always matched with the required infrastructure, hence the new Local Plan that had been developed. It was emphasised that constant changes to the planning system did not benefit Local Authorities and a more consistent approach would be welcomed.
- The new traffic light system for international travel, currently being developed, was discussed, and a Member raised concerns that the number of COVID tests required at current prices, would leave international travel only available to the wealthy. In response The MP stated that the system had not yet been completed, and would be introduced on 17th May, with testing and requirements determined in consultation with other countries. He expected the price of testing to reduce as the system was developed.
- A Member highlighted a recent report stating that herd immunity was expected to be achieved shortly, and wondered, as the vaccines did not prevent transmission of the infection, what the incentive was to take up the offer of a vaccine. In response the MP emphasised that while the vaccine was not mandatory it did make sense for people to protect themselves via this method, and would be particularly useful for the re-opening of society, for example in relation to international travel or mass attendance events. It was not envisaged that day to day life would require proof of vaccination but some aspects of life may require that, going forward out of the pandemic.

Resolved:-

That Nigel Adams, MP be thanked for attending the meeting, outlining issues of interest in the Constituency area and for the ensuing discussion with Members.

91. COVID 19 - Update

Representatives of the Executive, County Councillors Carl Les, Caroline Dickinson and Michael Harrison provided a verbal update on the latest position regarding the COVID 19 pandemic and the County Council's response. The following issues were outlined:-

- The infection rates in North Yorkshire were showing a downward trend and were significantly lower than some of the Local Authority areas bordering the County. However, due to the relatively small populations in the Districts small outbreaks could create large fluctuations in the figures. The Selby District was currently the area with the highest infection rate in the County but that was mainly attributable to a specific outbreak which was being contained.
- The overall number of deaths attributed to COVID reported in the County continued to decrease.
- The reduction in the infection had seen the closure of the Nightingale Hospital in Harrogate and the temporary mortuary at Leeming Bar, with neither having to be utilised at any stage.
- The roll out of the vaccination programme had been incredibly successful and, in North Yorkshire –
 - 373000 had now received at least one dose of the vaccine
 - 97% of Care Home residents had received at least one dose
 - Cohorts 1 to 6 – 96% had received at least one dose
 - The clinically vulnerable – 86% had received at least one dose
- A number of countries were now experiencing 3rd waves of the pandemic and every effort was being made to ensure that this would not occur in the UK, therefore, the re-opening after lockdown was being undertaken extremely carefully.
- The relevant authorities and bodies continued to meet on a weekly basis, including the Police, local MPs, the NHS, etc.
- 23 Community Support organisations had been developed and had been of vital assistance to local communities throughout the County through their coordinated approach and partnership working and further details would be provided later in the meeting under the Stronger Communities agenda item.
- There was confidence that the Government's "Road Map" out of lockdown would be met and businesses and facilities would gradually re-open.
- The LEP was developing plans for economic recovery following the pandemic, with the visitor economy, green economy and developing the appropriate skills to match the plans being key components.
- In terms of other pressures facing the County Council the leader stated that a budget for 2021/22 had just been agreed which took account of the need to maintain services that are currently in high demand while recognising the difficult financial position of many people due to the pandemic. He considered that the promised fairer funding review, by the Government, would hopefully address the inequality of the current funding position for Local Authorities. He also called for the funding position for Adult Social Care to be addressed as soon as possible.
- He noted that infrastructure continued to be prioritised by the County Council and the re-opening of the **Page 6** as quickly as possible was a key priority.

- He had spoken to the Minister regarding the future of meetings, particularly the use of virtual meetings, and had been assured that the Government were considering the issue carefully. The Leader had emphasised the need for embracing new ways of working and building flexibility into the process.
- In response to the issues of LGR and devolution, raised by a Member, the Leader stated that the consultation on LGR was about to come to an end with the Government's decision on the proposals due in the summer.
- It was clarified that any COVID tests taken were tied to the home address of the person taking the test, even if this was undertaken at an alternative address or workplace. Specific workplace outbreaks were dealt with at that workplace, however.

County Councillor Michael Harrison, the Executive member whose portfolio includes Health and Adult Services, highlighted the following:-

- The rate of infection in the Selby area was decreasing and had the fourth best fall in rates of infection for the over sixties in the whole of Yorkshire.
- The vaccination roll out was clearly having an effect
- There were now only 3 care homes with outbreaks of COVID in North Yorkshire – significantly down from 80 – 90 in January.
- 90% of eligible NYCC Staff had been vaccinated
- 90% of care home residents and staff had been vaccinated
- Over 40% of over 50s had now been vaccinated.
- Consideration was currently being given as to whether booster jabs would be provided later in the year.
- Health and Adult Services had undertaken a restructure to mirror the 7 day discharge process of the NHS, to ensure there were no blockages in the system.

County Councillor Caroline Dickinson, the Executive Member whose portfolio includes Public Health, highlighted the following –

- The COVID infection rates continued to fluctuate, therefore, people needed to avoid becoming complacent and remember – hands, face, space and fresh air – to ensure the “Road Map” out of lock-down could continue to be met.
- She outlined details of the vaccine roll out in the Constituency area and vulnerable groups were being engaged. The under 30s were to be offered an alternative to the Oxford Astra Venica vaccine, following well publicised health concerns, however, it was emphasised that the risk was miniscule and far lower than the risk from COVID.
- Testing Sites were available in all the main towns in each District and further sites continued to be added. Free lateral Flow Tests were now available to everyone twice per week to monitor COVID levels.
- Schools have undertaken mass testing and pupils and staff are now carrying out home testing and, where necessary, isolation is then being undertaken.
- Details of how healthy living programmes were being delivered were outlined, including the “Smoke Free” and “Adult Weight Management” initiatives.
- She emphasised that the removal of the restrictions too quickly could lead to another spike in infections, therefore, this was being carried out in a carefully managed way. A Member stated that the “Road Map” was adhered to with restrictions lifted on 21 June. He considered that infection rates were low now, across the country and a balance had to be struck between public health and public liberties. It was considered that the impact from the pandemic would be felt for many years to come.
- A Member, noting that he had already received the two vaccinations, asked whether there was a point to undertaking the twice weekly tests outlined. The

Executive Member stated that she would obtain that information and advise Members accordingly.

- Members paid tribute to the work carried out by NYCC staff during the pandemic and how they had managed to maintain the delivery of services in exceptional circumstances.

Resolved –

That the Leader and Executive Members be thanked for their update the details of which be noted.

92. Stronger Communities: COVID19 Social Isolation and Approach to Community Efforts.

County Councillor David Chance, the Executive member whose portfolio includes Stronger Communities, introduced the report. He noted that it had been a year since the first lockdown began and it was appropriate to provide a report to all ACCs highlighting the tremendous work undertaken by Stronger Communities during that period. He outlined how the Stronger Communities Team had established 23 Community Support Organisations, to assist with supporting local communities through the pandemic, in only 4 days.

Tom Jenkinson (Stronger Communities Delivery Manager) and Liz Meade (Stronger Communities Delivery Manager) outlined the report, highlighting the following:-

- The Stronger Communities Team developing and mobilising the community support infrastructure in response to the COVID19 (Coronavirus) pandemic.

Community Support Organisation Performance (2020/21)

- Since the mobilisation of the community support model in March 2020, the following activity had been recorded via the 23 CSOs across North Yorkshire, primarily facilitated by approximately 95,000 volunteer hours:
 - Approximately 82,000 contacts;
 - 17,214 prescriptions delivered;
 - 24,724 shopping deliveries made;
 - 32,559 befriending calls and 22,083 phone check ins made;
 - Administered and allocated 1,132 Covid19 Self Isolation Grants totalling £108,775;
 - 4,402 transport requests fulfilled; and
 - 31,876 meals delivered.

Covid19 Related Work

- Defra's Local Authority Emergency Assistance Fund for Food and Essential Supplies
- Support for the NHS Covid19 Vaccination Programme
- North Yorkshire Together Activity Packs
- Capacity Building

Planning for 2021/22

- Independent Evaluation
- Holiday Activities and Food Programme
- Reboot North Yorkshire

Local Area Information

- Details of local initiatives within the Constituency area were outlined.

County Councillor Chance provided details of applications that had been made to the Local Assistance Fund, which had been developed from the emergency funding provided by Central Government. He noted that the funding had been a great help to a large number of people. He also stated that Members had utilised their Locality Budgets to assist with the development of a wide range of COVID related projects.

Tom Jenkinson (Stronger Communities Delivery Manager) and Liz Meade (Stronger Communities Delivery Manager) highlighted the following:-

- Stronger Communities stepped forward to co-ordinate the community sector and volunteers in response to the pandemic at an extremely quick pace. Support was provided by numerous other bodies and organisations.
- The response to the pandemic had been successful and had been well received.
- The focus was now beginning to turn to supporting communities going forward and how to assist groups and people with re-integration as society begins to open back up, to ensure that there was not an over dependence on the services being provided.
- A Member noted that, through his involvement with local volunteer groups, the demand for services was diminishing, and that people were beginning to re-integrate. The Stronger Communities Delivery Managers confirmed that this was the case and volunteers were now assisting more with the re-integration process.

Resolved –

That Executive Member and the Stronger Communities Delivery Managers be thanked for the report and updates the contents of which be noted.

93. Development of Special Free School - Update

Chris Reynolds (Head of SEND Strategic Planning and Resources, Inclusion) presented an update report on the development of a Special Free School in the Selby district highlighting the following:-

In 2019 NYCC was successful in its application to DfE to establish a special free school in the Selby area. The school will be a 100 place 3-19 years school for children and young people with the following complex needs:

- communication and interaction needs (including Autism and speech, language and communication needs); and / or
- cognition and learning needs (MLD/SLD)
- Some children and young people may have related social, emotional and mental health needs

In July 2020 Wellspring Multi-Academy Trust was formally announced as the successful sponsor for the school. The local authority worked closely with both DfE and Wellspring to develop designs for school on the proposed site in Osgodby. This design work is continues to progress and would be subject to planning application processes following the conclusion of the work.

Representatives from NYCC, DfE and Wellspring continue to work towards the goal of delivering the new Special School for children and families in the district. As timelines are finalised more information would be released.

The following issues and points were raised during a discussion of the report:-

- Members highlighted that there was a large section of the community opposed to the siting of the school at Osgodby which had been demonstrated via a very well attended public meeting on this matter. It was suggested that the school would have been better sited in central Selby and that the location was chosen over other suitable sites for financial reasons.
- A number of Members noted that the site in Osgodby had not been identified in the Local Development Plan for this development. Members noted that this matter had been raised with NYCC and hoped that those representations had been taken account of.
- A Member noted that a consultation process had identified this site as being the most suitable for this school in the District.
- It was emphasised that the development of the school would still need to go through the appropriate planning process, which would include further consultation on the suitability of the site – The Chairman reminded Members that, if they were members of the Planning Committee, they should take care so as not to outline their stance on this matter as it could preclude them from taking part in the meeting when the application was determined.
- A Member noted that a number of people in that area were in favour of the school being sited there, but were reluctant to speak out due to the animosity of those against. The Chairman noted the need to determine a balance of public opinion on such issues, and ensure a well-balanced decision was made.
- Members asked that they be kept updated on the progress of this issue.

Resolved –

That the officer be thanked for the report, the contents of which be noted, together with the issues raised during the discussion and further updates be brought to subsequent meetings of the Committee.

94. A19 Rebuild and Repair – Update

James Malcolm (Area Highways Manager) and Sharon Fox (Area Highways) provided an update regarding the current situation in relation to the rebuild and repair of the A19 following extensive damage to the road resulting from flooding earlier in the year. The following issues were highlighted:-

- The contractor was now working seven days per week on site, following concerns raised previously, and additional machinery and staff had been brought in. Every effort was being made to complete the project ahead of schedule.
- The site works had been divided into 3 sections, with the central section expected to be completed by 19th April.
- Details of the work that taking place were outlined together with the flood prevention work utilising the large rocks that were being delivered to the site.
- There had been some flooding on the site since the previous report to the Committee but this had not caused any significant damage.
- Details of the community work and involvement of the contractor, in the area of work, to assist relationships with the local community, were outlined.

- The completion date was still expected to be June 2021 but the enhanced working was expected to bring that forward and further updates would be provided to Members as these details emerged.

Following the initial update the following issues and points were raised during a discussion with Members:-

- A Member suggested that if the work had been carried at the same level as now at the beginning of the project, the completion date would have been much sooner. In response the Area Highways Manager stated that initial work had to be prioritised due to the potential for further flooding in some parts, therefore the flooding prevention work had to be put in place. The increased work on the site could only take place with the increase in daylight hours and better weather but full advantage has been taken of these changes.
- A Member considered that the material on site could have been moved more quickly had it taken to nearby, local sites, such as the quarry at Fairburn. In response it was emphasised that the removed material belonged to the contractor and it was for them to dispose of it accordingly, with it being transported off the site for recycling or to appropriate waste facilities.
- The co-opted Member thanked the Area Highways Manager for the extensive work he had carried out on this. He asked whether the Area Highways Manager considered there were aspects of the project that could have been done better. In response it was stated that, ideally, the whole project would have been undertaken during the summer months in a single phase, allowing advantage to be taken of longer daylight hours and better weather, increasing the ability to undertake additional work, without having to prioritise specific areas. It would have also been advantageous to have contracted the work earlier, but there would have still being a dependence on the land being available, and in a suitable condition, for the work to be carried out.
- The Chairman stated that he was pleased to see the work had increased on the site. He noted that the closure of the A19 had created a huge impact for the surrounding communities and he urged that every effort be made to complete the project as soon as possible.

Resolved-

That the Area Highways Manager be thanked for the update, the contents of which be noted, and updates on the project continue to be fed back to Members directly and via the various communication channels available.

95. Work Programme

Considered -

The report of the Assistant Chief Executive (Legal and Democratic Services) providing details of the Work Programme for the Area Constituency Committee to consider, develop and adopt.

It was noted that the Work Programme had altered significantly due to the COVID 19 pandemic and Members were asked to provide details of any issues that they may wish to be added to the Work Programme, going forward, and were advised that they could also provide details of issues they wished to consider outside of the meeting. It was expected that issues related to the pandemic would feature in forthcoming meetings.

Resolved -

- (i) That the development of the Work Programme be ongoing;
- (ii) That the contents of the report be noted.

96. Future Meetings

It was likely that this would be a virtual meeting held on the Microsoft Teams platform, but, should face-to-face meetings have returned, a suitable venue would be utilised and Members would be informed accordingly

Resolved -

That the next meeting of the Committee be held on Friday 11th June 2021 at 10am

The meeting concluded at 11.45am.

SL

North Yorkshire County Council

Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee

11 June 2021

Appointments to Local Bodies

1.0 Purpose of the Report

- 1.1 To enable appointments to Local Bodies in the Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee (ACC) area, previously made by the County Committee for Harrogate and Selby Area Committee, to be extended in light of the deferment of the planned County Council elections to May 2022.

2.0 Background

- 2.1 The appointments to Local Bodies for the area now covered by the Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee were made by the County Committee for Harrogate and Selby Area Committee in 2017, following the County Council elections.
- 2.2 The Constitution identifies three categories of outside bodies. Those to which this Area Committee appoints are in Categories 2 and 3 (known as Local Bodies). Category 2 outside bodies typically operate across the geographic area of more than one Electoral Division and appointments to them should be County Councillors. The person appointed to a Category 3 outside body is expected to be a member of the local community, but may be the local Member.
- 2.3 At the meetings of the County Committee for Harrogate and Selby Area Committee, on 1 June 2017 and the 12 June 2017 respectively the appointments to Category 2 outside bodies were made until “the County Council elections in 2021” and the appointments to Category 3 outside bodies were made “until a replacement is appointed”.

3.0 Process for extending appointments

- 3.1 The recent deferment of the planned County Council elections to from May 2021 to May 2022 means that the appointments to Category 2 outside bodies need to be extended. The appointments to Category 3 outside bodies can stand as they are, unless committee members are aware of any vacancies that need to be filled.
- 3.2 The current appointments to the Category 2 outside bodies that fall within the remit of this committee are as follows:
- National Coal Mining Museum for England Liaison Committee - County Councillor Mel Hobson
 - Safer Selby Local Delivery Team - County Councillor Stephanie Duckett
 - Selby District Association for Voluntary Services - County Councillor Karl Arthur
 - Craven and Harrogate Districts Citizens Advice Bureau – Cllr Trotter (Appointment being considered by Harrogate and Knaresborough ACC on 10/06/21).
 - Harrogate District Community Safety Local Delivery Team – Cllr Trotter (Appointment being considered by Harrogate and Knaresborough ACC on 10/06/21).
- 3.3 The full list of the Category 2 and Category 3 outside bodies, as currently specified in the Council Constitution, are detailed in Appendix 1.

4.0 Recommendations

- 4.1 That the committee extends the current appointments to the Category 2 outside bodies that fall within the remit of this committee to the end of the current Council in May 2022
- 4.2 That the committee considers whether any appointments to Category 3 outside bodies need to be made to fill any vacancies that have arisen

Barry Khan
Assistant Chief Executive (Legal and Democratic Services)
County Hall
NORTHALLERTON
June 2021

Report Author: Steve Loach, Democratic Services

Background documents:

County Committee for Harrogate papers for the meeting held on 1 June 2017

Selby Area Committee papers for the meeting held on 12 June 2017

County Council Constitution – [New Council Constitutions \(northyorks.gov.uk\)](http://northyorks.gov.uk)

2. LOCAL BODIES (appointments by Area Constituency Committees)

- ◆ Those appointed to these bodies should be County Councillors.
- ◆ The appointments will be made by the Area Constituency Committee(s) concerned.
- ◆ Some officer briefing or other support may be provided.
- ◆ Any report back will be to the Area Committee (which may report to the Executive on it, if appropriate).
- ◆ Expenses will be paid to County Councillors unless they are payable by the body appointed to.

	<u>No of seats</u>	
Citizens Advice Scarborough and District	1 + Sub	CC R Swiers
Craven and Harrogate Districts Citizens Advice Bureau	2 (1 Craven and 1 Harrogate)	CC C Trotter CC R Heseltine
Forest of Bowland AONB Joint Advisory Committee	1 + Sub	CC R Welch
Gouthwaite Reservoir Board of Management	3	Vacancy CC S Lumley Mr David Gotto
Hambleton Community Action	1	CC D Blades
Harrogate District Community Safety Local Delivery Team	1	CC C Trotter
Hartlepool Power Station Local Community Liaison Council	1	CC H Moorhouse
Howardian Hills AONB Joint Advisory Committee	2 + Subs	CC C Goodrick CC C Patmore
Knaresborough Community Centre Committee	1	CC Z Metcalfe
National Coal Mining Museum for England Liaison Committee	1 (+1 sub)	CC M Hobson
Nidderdale AONB Joint Advisory Committee	3 + Subs	CC M Atkinson CC M Harrison CC S Lumley Sub: CC P Haslam
North Yorkshire and Cleveland Coastal Forum Executive Committee	3	CC J Plant CC D Bastiman CC A Jenkinson Sub: CC H Swiers
Renaissance Knaresborough Management Committee	1	CC Z Metcalfe
Safer Craven Local Delivery Team	1	CC A Solloway
Safer Hambleton Steering Group for Community Safety	1	CC H Moorhouse
Safer Richmondshire Community Safety Local Delivery Team	1	CC C Les
Safer Ryedale Delivery Team	1 + Sub	CC V Arnold
Safer Selby Local Delivery Team	1	CC S Duckett
Scarborough United Scholarships Foundation with the John Kendal Trust	1 + Sub	CC J Jefferson
Selby District Association for Voluntary Services	1 + Sub	CC K Arthur

3. LOCAL BODIES (appointee expected to be a member of the local community (but may be the local Member) nominated by the local Member)

- ♦ The person appointed is expected to be a member of the local community, but may be the local Member.
- ♦ The local Member will make a nomination to the relevant Area Committee.
- ♦ The relevant Area Committee will make the appointment.
- ♦ There will be no officer support.
- ♦ There will be no report back (unless the local Member deems it necessary). Any report back will be to the Corporate Director - Strategic Resources.
- ♦ Expenses will not be paid by the County Council.

NB: The following outside bodies are not Partnerships for the purpose of Partnership Governance as they do not meet the relevant criteria.

	<u>No of seats</u>	
Amotherby Educational Foundation	1	Mrs H Woodall
Appleton Wiske Educational Foundation	1	Mr T Swales
Atkinson and Clarke Educational Foundation (Newton-le-Willows/Patrick Brompton)	1	Mr G Shepherd
Bellerby School Foundation	1	Mrs E Scott
Boyle and Petyt Foundation (Bolton Abbey)	1	Mrs M Longden
Carleton Endowed School Trust (Skipton)	2	Mrs E K Brown Mrs M Mason
Castle Bolton and Redmire Education Foundation	1	Mr D Morton
Christopher Wharton Educational Foundation	1	CC C Goodrick
Clarke's Old School Foundation Wigglesworth	1	Mr P Raper
Constance Elizabeth Beckwith Bequest (Easingwold and Husthwaite)	1	Mr John Tanner Smith
Coulthurst Sandylands Sports Centre Management Committee	1	CC A Solloway
Cridling Stubbs Educational Charity	1	CC J McCartney
Edward Atkinson Charity (Camblesforth)	1	CC M Jordan
Elizabeth Barnett Charity (East Witton)	1	Mrs D Duffus
Eskdaleside-cum-Ugglebarnby Educational Foundation	1	CC Clive Pearson
Greenhow Enhancement Fund	1	Mr J Fort BEM
Hargraves and Green Educational Foundation (Giggleswick/Austwick)	1	Mr C Fryer
Heber Charity (Thornton-le-Beans)	1	CC B Baker
Horsehouse School Charity (Carlton Highdale)	1	Roger Harrison- Topham
Horton-in-Ribblesdale Exhibition Foundation Governors	1	Mr James Davis Mrs Sandra Ireton
Horton-in-Ribblesdale Foundation Governors	1	Mrs Linn Booth
John Dakyn Trust, East Cowton Fund	1	Dr Ruth Smith
John Dakyn's Educational Charity at Kirby Hill	2	CC A Thompson Mr Alan J Cowie
John Stockton Education Foundation (Kirbymoorside)	3	Mr M Dickinson Mrs Julia Bretnam Mrs M Garside
King James's Foundation at Knaresborough	1	CC Z Metcalfe
Kirkby Overblow Educational Foundation	1	CC C Trotter
Lady Lumley's Educational Foundation (Pickering)	1	CC G White
Langcliffe Educational Foundation	1	Mrs M Lodge

	No of seats	
Longstaffes Educational Foundation, High Bentham	1	CC D Ireton
Lupton Foundation (High and Low Bishopside)	2	Mr J Fort BEM Mrs Hilary Jefferson
Mary Waud Foundation (Cliffe School Charity)	1	CC M Jordan
Middleton School Foundation	1	Mr N Elliott
Oglethorpe and Dawson Educational Foundation	3 (at least 1 women)	CC D Mackay Mrs J Marshall Bea Rowntree
Old Meeting House Trust, Helmsley	1	Cllr S Arnold
Oswaldkirk and Ampleforth Education Foundation	1	CC C Goodrick
Poad's Educational Foundation (Newton upon Rawcliffe)	1	Vacancy
Preston Education Foundation (Stokesley)	2	Mrs C Seymour Dr M Faulkner
Prowde's Educational Charity	1	The Lord Crathorne KCVO
Raikes Foundation (Pateley Bridge)	2	Hilary Jefferson Christine Skaife
Rev James Graves' Foundation at Thorpe Bassett	1	Mrs V Grice
Rev Michael Sydall Educational Foundation (Catterick)	1	CC C Les
Richard Taylor Educational Foundation (Bilton)	1	CC G Webber
Richmond School Trust	5	Mrs J Walker District Cllr Lorraine Hodgson CC S Parsons Mr Keith Hall Cllr C World
Ripon Grammar School Foundation Governors	4	CC M Chambers MBE CC S Martin MBE Mr B Bateman MBE City Cllr L Barnes OBE
Sir John Horsfall Exhibition Foundation (Glusburn and Sutton-in-Craven)	1	CC P Barrett
St John's Catholic School for the Deaf, Boston Spa	1	CC M Hobson
Staintondale Educational Trust	1	CC D Bastiman
Sylvester Petyt's Foundation (Skipton)	1	Roger Ingham
Thirsk and Sowerby Swimming Baths Charity Management Committee	1	Vacancy
William Cockin Educational Foundation (Melsonby)	1	Vacancy
William Hardcastle Charity	2	Mrs P Hutchinson Mrs Sally Harrison
Wistow Church of England School Charity	1	CC A Lee
Yorebridge Education Foundation	5	Dr P Annison Mr J Hodgson Mr M Fleming Mr R Tunstall VACANCY

This page is intentionally left blank

North Yorkshire County Council

Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee - 11 June 2021

Initial Approach to Economic Recovery Following the Pandemic

1.0 Purpose of the Report


- 1.1 To provide an update on the initial approach to economic recovery following the pandemic.

2.0 Recap

- 2.1 Prior to the Covid-19 crisis North Yorkshire benefitted from a prosperous and diverse economy, with good quality of life, excellent North / South transport links, high levels of employment and, for the most part, excellent provision of both primary and secondary education and social care.
- 2.2 The sectorial make-up of the County broadly reflects the national picture, however, manufacturing and hospitality based industries have significantly higher proportion of employment than Great Britain as a whole. This is also reflected in productivity (GVA) and reflects those sectors of the economy, which have been shown to be most at risk from the economic impacts of Covid-19.
- 2.3 Some sectors which have been most affected by the Furlough significantly affect North Yorkshire Districts. Ryedale and Selby have high proportion of employment in manufacturing whilst accommodation and food accounts for a significant proportion of employment in Harrogate, Richmondshire, Scarborough and Craven.

3.0 Furlough Job Retention Scheme

- 3.1 At the height of Furlough we were able to report the number of jobs furloughed in North Yorkshire by Sector



- 3.2 The latest provisional figures up to March show a sharp recovery in terms of furlough take up in the Districts. There is still a high number in those areas identified with a dependence in the hospitality sector such as Harrogate and Richmondshire.

	Last Reported in July 2020	February 21	March 21 (Provisional)
North Yorkshire	88,200	45,700	40,900
Craven	8,400	4,500	4,000
Hambleton	11,400	5,700	5,200
Harrogate	23,500	12,400	11,100
Richmondshire	6,400	3,600	3,200
Ryedale	7,800	4,100	3,400
Scarborough	17,200	9,200	8,400
Selby	13,500	6,200	5,600

ONS May 2021

4.0 Response to Economic Recovery

- 4.1 The YNYER LEP has worked with NYCC and all Districts to develop the Covid 19 Plan to reshape our economy.
- 4.2 The plan is set around the 4 pillars of the Local Industrial Strategy: Environment, Business and Innovation, Place and Infrastructure and People and communities. All districts and NYCC have contributed to the plan, which includes individual organisational pages showing organisational recovery actions carried out to date and intended actions and measures. For example, the NYCC page includes the funding secured through the Active Travel fund and the Buy Local Directory initiative. It also includes the continued roll out of the 5G Mobile Access North Yorkshire (MANY) Project.

5.0 NYCC Economic Growth Response

- 5.1 A Local Economy Workstream continues to gather business intelligence in North Yorkshire covering all sectors. This is a two way conversation with businesses, Chamber of Commerce, FSB, W2Y, etc. which informs collective action. NYCC sign post resources for business on a dedicated webpage and have set up the Buy Local directory, where local people can see what businesses and services are near them, to support local businesses and North Yorkshire residents.
- 5.2 The North Yorkshire Plan for Economic Growth 2021 – 2024 has been refreshed. The seven enablers have been updated to reflect the focus on net zero carbon targets across the districts. The refreshed plan also incorporates the LEP's plan above and the NYCC Economic Recovery framework.
- 5.3 The Economic Growth Plan acknowledges the challenges and the agility required to take advantage of the changing opportunities as they come forward. For example the Community Renewal Fun and Levelling up funds.
- 5.4 An Action Plan is being developed in partnership with the districts that will include the development and delivery of key projects across the County. For example, the roll out of Town Centre Wi-Fi and the development of NY Market Town masterplans to develop the pipeline of projects that will enable NYCC and partners to take advantage of funding within the tight timescales often prescribed.

6.0 NYCC Public Health Support of Businesses

- 6.1 A dedicated team, led by public health, has been managing Covid 19 in workplaces, which has designed an operating model which created a tiered approach and response ranging from prevention to managing outbreaks.
- 6.2 Since June 2020 the team has successfully managed over 33 outbreaks in workplace settings since June 2020 and supported over 200 workplaces since October 2020 with two or more confirmed cases.
- 6.3 The team has developed a suite of resources based on learning during the pandemic to support businesses in their prevention and management of Covid in the workplace. These include frequently asked questions; action cards; good practice factsheets covering issues such as car sharing, hand hygiene, smoking shelters, welfare facilities.

7.0 NYCC Trading Standards Support of Businesses.

- 7.1 Throughout the pandemic Trading Standards have been offering free business advice to North Yorkshire businesses in an effort to aid growth and ensure legislative compliance during these difficult times. The provision of free business advice will continue until 01 October 2021.
- 7.2 In 2020/21, officers responded to 327 complaints from members of the public and undertook 948 compliance checks at retail premises; at times to check that all non-essential businesses were closed, and as restrictions lifted, to ensure businesses were trading safely and in accordance with government regulations.
- 7.3 In total 273 none compliances were identified and addressed, with officers often reinforcing the importance of contact tracing procedures and requiring the use of face masks.
- 7.4 Trading Standards continue to assist key partners in the Covid response, most recently in May 2021 by helping to distribute stickers to over 300 takeaways, which ask customers to remain vigilant in maintaining standards of cleanliness and social distancing.

<h2>8.0 Recommendations</h2>

- | |
|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 8.1 To note the contents of the report. |
|---|

MATT O'NEILL

Assistant Director, Growth Planning and Trading Standards
Business and Environmental Services

Author of the Report: Michael Reynolds, Senior Policy Officer (Infrastructure)

This page is intentionally left blank



**North Yorkshire County Council
Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee – 11 June 2021
Flood Risk Report**

1.0 Purpose of the Report

- 1.1 To inform the Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee of:
- i. The investigatory work of NYCC to understand the sources of flooding in its area.
 - ii. The locations prioritised in the NYCC Flood Risk Programme for delivery during 2021/22, in particular those in the Selby and Ainsty ACC area.

2.0 Background information

- 2.1 In January 2018 the Corporate Director, BES in consultation with BES Executive Members approved a method of prioritising locations where flood investigation had been undertaken by NYCC. This is used to inform the programme for scheme development and delivery, with the intention of NYCC delivering surface and ground water flood mitigation, in line with its powers to act under the Flood and Water Management Act (2010).
- 2.2 Based on this criteria, the following locations across the county are presently prioritised in the flood programme for financial year 2021/22, following the agreement of the Corporate Director, BES in consultation with BES Executive members on the 21st May 2021:
- Malton, Norton and Old Malton
 - Scarborough Town
 - Great Ayton
 - South Craven Villages
 - Saxton, Selby District
 - Rye Villages
 - Upper Dales Villages
 - Stokesley
 - Tadcaster
 - Lower Aire villages including Brotherton
 - Gildersleets
- 2.3 This report will update on those locations prioritised for work within the Selby and Ainsty ACC geography.

3.0 Programme Delivery in the Selby and Ainsty ACC area

3.1 Lower Aire Villages

In February 2020 various locations in the Aire catchment experienced flooding, resulting in the undertaking of a formal Section 19 investigation.

- 3.2 The section 19 report is available on the following link. An addendum to the report, which includes the committed actions to be undertaken by all Risk Management Authorities to address the sources of flooding in the Lower Aire is also accessible via the link:
<https://www.northyorks.gov.uk/sites/default/files/fileroot/Environment%20and%20water/Flooding/201202%20Section%2019%20February-2020.pdf>
- 3.3 For ease, however, the recommended actions for NYCC, in its capacity as Lead Local Flood Authority, resulting from the Section 19 report relating to the Selby and Ainsty area included:
- Opportunities to include communities in the future North Yorkshire County Council flood mitigation programme will be explored
 - The work will include identifying critical assets to flood risk and identifying those responsible for maintenance and engaging in active response planning
- 3.4 Work has been on-going to support communities following the publication of the section 19 report. To permit continuation of the recommendations of the report, Brotherton and other impacted Lower Aire villages are included as programme commitments in 2021/22.
- 3.5 **Brotherton**
Work is required to an NYCC highway culvert to ensure it is in good working order. Given the interaction of the culvert with the wider drainage system, which is managed by the Internal Drainage Board (IDB), this will permit a wider understanding of the interactions of the receiving drainage system. Quotes have been provided for works to the culvert be undertaken by IDB on NYCC's behalf. The work to the culvert is estimated at £350k and is allocated to be taken from the NYCC Flood Risk Reserve.
- 3.6 **Lower Aire Villages Resilience Project**
Hirst Courtney, West Bank, Brotherton and Bolton Percy which were all impacted in the 2020 event. In these locations a review of the existing resilience measures in place will be undertaken with opportunities for improving or increasing the community preparedness to be identified and delivered. There is £35k allocated to the delivery of this project from the NYCC Flood Risk Reserve.
- 3.7 **Saxton**
Historic work has already been undertaken by various parties to address the risks in Saxton. It is necessary to understand the benefits of the outcomes of that work that have been delivered over the years in order to move this forward. Consequently desk top analysis of existing feasibility work and historic delivery is planned. This will then inform the future direction of work. JBA Consultancy is supporting the county council in delivering this with £25k being allocated towards project development this financial year, to be taken from the NYCC flood risk reserve.
- 3.8 **Tadcaster**
The scheme being delivered in Tadcaster is led by the Environment Agency, given it primarily addresses main river flooding, which led to the devastating events and bridge collapse in 2015. NYCC officers are supporting the work of the Environment Agency in delivering the scheme.
- 3.9 There has been a delay to the proposed Tadcaster Flood Alleviation Scheme and this has caused significant changes to the project timeline and estimated delivery date of the scheme.
- 3.10 A recent review has highlighted inaccuracies within the existing hydrological model that is being used as the basis for developing the flood defence scheme in Tadcaster. In order to develop an appropriate scheme for Tadcaster, an accurate

model representing the flood risk situation and hydrological conditions of the River Wharfe at Tadcaster and local area is essential.

- 3.11 The model provides the basis of information for which the scheme is designed on and helps to ensure the height of any proposed defences and volumes of any storage components of the solution are correct. Were the identified issues not addressed it could result in the scheme not delivering the intended Standard of Protection to the town.
- 3.12 The EA wants to provide the community with the most effective scheme that it can. Therefore, it is paramount that the inaccuracies within the existing model are addressed and the model is as accurate as it can be before it is used to review the options for the scheme.
- 3.13 The process of updating the existing model will take a certain amount of time and it is expected that this delay will set back the construction start date of the scheme until 2024.
- 3.14 It is acknowledged by the EA that this delay will cause concern and frustration in the community, however, it is important that the time is taken to address the issues identified with the model to ensure that ultimately the most appropriate scheme is delivered for the town.
- 3.15 On the 7th May 2021 the EA issued an open letter giving an update to the Tadcaster community, to make it aware of the delay. If members have any questions regarding the scheme, they may be issued directly to the EA via its email inbox:
TadcasterFAS@environment-agency.gov.uk

4.0 Recommendations

- 4.1 It is recommended that Members of the Selby and Ainsty ACC note:
 - i. The investigative work undertaken by NYCC to address flood risk in its constituency area
 - ii. The locations identified for scheme development by NYCC in the priority locations in its constituency area during 2021/22.

Author: Emily Mellalieu
Development Control and Flood Risk Management
Network Strategy
Business and Environmental Services
North Yorkshire County Council
25 May 2021

This page is intentionally left blank



**North Yorkshire County Council
Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee – 11 June 2021
Update on Selby District Places and Movement Study**

1.0 Purpose of the Report

- 1.1 To provide a progress report on the Selby District Places and Movement Study.

2.0 Update

- 2.2 The Selby Places and Movement study was commissioned in Summer 2020, jointly by NYCC and Selby District Council (SDC). The aim of the study is to consider how congestion could be reduced, how air quality could be improved and how the highway network might be adapted to support improved movement for all modes. In addition to this, the study also considers the place making agenda in the towns in question.
- 2.3 The study was commissioned covering the areas of Selby, Sherburn in Elmet and Tadcaster, and was funded by the YNYLEP, the NYCC major scheme development budget and Selby District Council.
- 2.4 A series of workshops, including elected members, officers and partner organisations have taken place at various stages throughout the process to allow dissemination of information and opportunity to input into options and make suggestions.
- 2.5 During the optioneering process, working in partnership with planning colleagues, it became apparent that work on the Tadcaster option would clash with Selby district local plan development options, therefore work on that particular element of the study was paused. Work on the Tadcaster elements of the study will resume once the local plan consultation has concluded. The funding that remained for the Tadcaster elements of the study has been diverted to additional modelling of the Selby options. Funding will be made available from the transport planning scheme development budget in the 2021/22 year, in order to complete the work required on Tadcaster.

3.0 Progress to date

- 3.1 Work has been underway since summer 2020.
- 3.2 The County Council's partner consultant WSP were commissioned to undertake the study and have engaged a team of multidisciplinary specialists including transport planners, engineers, transport modellers, landscape and urban designers and future mobility consultants.
- 3.3 The aim of the study is to identify a potential programme of projects that are classified in terms of potential delivery timescales and cost. This will enable prioritisation of schemes and development of a future Department for Transport (DfT) compliant strategic outline business case.

- 3.4 The study takes account of a number of previous studies, policies, projects and plans, including the Heart of Our Town study, Selby Station masterplan, the Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plan, and the Transforming Cities Fund (TCF) bid.
- 3.5 TCF has resulted in c£16m being awarded for project delivery in Selby town centre. The project has been submitted at outline business case stage, with preliminary and detailed design expected to commence imminently, subject to approvals.
- 3.6 The project team for Selby Place and Movement (P&M) study to some degree overlaps with that working on the TCF, and in addition to this, reviews are held between the two teams to ensure that the projects are complementary and that the P&M study builds on the strengths of the TCF.
- 3.7 The study is not looking to address a specific capacity issue. Moreover, it aims to see how a greater sense of 'place' can be engendered within the study areas, by making changes to the way in which the transport network operates and by reducing the impact of motorised vehicles on the places where people want to move around, work, visit and enjoy leisure time.

4.0 Option Sifting

- 4.1 After an initial inception workshop, 55 schemes were longlisted, ranging from strategic and long term, to low level and low cost interventions. These were then sifted using the DfT's Early Assessment and Sifting Tool (EAST), and packaged together to form cohesive potential options.
- 4.2 The types of potential intervention include:
- Public realm enhancement/creation of public realm space
 - Junction redesign
 - Traffic management, with changes to vehicle routeing and potentially prohibition of certain vehicle types in some areas
 - Reallocation of road space to active/sustainable travel modes
 - Improvements to Passenger Transport infrastructure and potential to better integrate modes
 - Potential amendments to parking provision.
- 4.3 In order to ensure that the projects that have been prioritised, not just in terms of their deliverability and strategic fit, but also in terms of levels of public support, it is considered important that the shortlisted proposals take account of public and stakeholder views.
- 4.4 Therefore, public engagement formed a key part of the programme plan and will be taken into account in the recommendations in the report prepared at the end of this study.

5.0 Options

- 5.1 Options and scenarios have been developed, sifted and tested and three have come forward as possible future packages. These are as follows, with the table below setting out an overview of the key elements of each for the Selby options:

Option	Description of key feature	Advantages	Disadvantages	
Do minimum	Minor enhancement to traffic signals and change of palette of materials	Some may view the lack of change as a positive	Little quantifiable benefit No reallocation of road space to sustainable modes Limited impact on public realm	
Do something	Bus gate on Gowthorpe, one way on Scott Road.	Enables significant enhancements to Gowthorpe/The Crescent. Benefits the Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) Provides capacity for cycling infrastructure Maintains access for buses, taxis and servicing	Scheme will require controls on outlying streets to prevent rat-running and provide appropriate alternative routing options. Some surrounding streets will be less able to deliver the place aspirations.	
Do maximum	One way loop/gyratory	Enables significant enhancements to Gowthorpe/The Crescent Allows enhancements to cycle infrastructure Significant AQMA benefits Ability to effect significant improvement at gateways.	Potential detrimental on buses, taxis and servicing vehicles Scheme will require controls on outlying streets to prevent rat-running and provide appropriate alternative routing options. Roads forming part of the gyratory system would be less able to provide an enhanced sense of place.	

5.2 The options for Sherburn in Elmet are less significant, due to the size of Sherburn, but focus predominantly on consolidating parking in Low Street, the main shopping area, in order to provide a more attractive pedestrian area. There is also potential to improve some of the key junctions in the town in order to reduce the visual impact of vehicles, reduce severance and make the core town centre more attractive to pedestrians and cyclists.

6.0 Public and stakeholder engagement

- 6.1 Public engagement took place, primarily online, during April 2021. Due to the Covid 19 pandemic, events that would have been held in person, took place by way of online MS Teams presentations, and Teams calls, with individual stakeholders. All relevant information was made available online, but was also made available in paper format, and sent out by post on request. When libraries were able to reopen following the spring Covid 19 lockdown, information and survey forms were made available in relevant local libraries.
- 6.2 575 people completed the survey online. Of these responses, over 50% came from residents of Sherburn in Elmet. In addition to this, 15 individual emails were received with additional comments. A number of these were from stakeholder organisations. An email promoting the consultation was sent out to 74 stakeholders, in addition to a press release, which featured in local newspapers and on social media platforms.
- 6.3 Officers are currently analysing the outputs from the study, with the results being made available to the Executive Members for BES and their Selby District Council counterparts in coming weeks. Following those discussions recommendations will be refined, and a further report will be brought to the next meeting of this committee.

7.0 Recommendation

- 7.1 That Members note the contents of this report and receive a further report in September 2021.

Author: Rebecca Gibson
Transport Planning, Highways and Transportation
Business and Environmental Services
North Yorkshire County Council
May 2021



North Yorkshire County Council

Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee

11 June 2021

Work Programme

Purpose of Report

That Members review the Committee's work programme, taking into account the outcome of discussions on previous agenda items and any other developments taking place across the area.

Work Programme

The most recent work Programme is attached at **Appendix 1** and takes account of the areas of work identified at previous meetings and by the previous Area Committee.

Given the situation in 2020 with the COVID 19 pandemic it is recommended that the Committee revisits the Work Programme to review areas that could be covered in forthcoming meetings, and in response to the situations created by the pandemic.

Remit of the committee

The Area Constituency Committees:

- Act as a forum for Members to bring forward issues affecting their local Electoral Divisions
- Hear and respond to questions and statements from members of the public relating to anything affecting the community within the constituency area
- Agree a Work Programme which lists items of business which the Committee wishes to consider at future meetings
- Undertake meaningful scrutiny of local health issues within their constituency area, complementing the strategic work undertaken by the Scrutiny of Health Committee
- Undertake meaningful scrutiny of local transport issues within their constituency area, complementing the strategic work undertaken by Transport, Economy and Environment Overview and Scrutiny Committee
- Act as consultees in major decisions that affect their constituency area (including responding to consultations)

- Make recommendations on the application of Innovation funding (supported by the Stronger Communities Team)
- Develop a working relationship with the local MP, sharing updates and information on relevant local issues being addressed by the committee.

Scheduled Committee dates for 2021/22

2021/22

- 10am on Friday 24th September 2021
- 10am on Friday 14th January 2022
- 10am on Friday 8th April 2022

Recommendation

Members are asked to consider and develop the Committee's work programme in view of the COVID 19 pandemic and suggest relevant items for forthcoming meetings and note the dates of future meetings.

Steve Loach
Democratic Services

June 2021

**Selby and Ainsty Area Constituency Committee
Work Programme 2020/21**

10am on 9 April 2021

Subject	Description
Discussion of issues with Local MP	Discussion of issues of relevance with the Local MP, Nigel Adams.
COVID 19 – Executive update	Update on the County Council's response to the pandemic from Executive Members
Stronger Communities	To review the budget and make recommendations to Executive
A19 - Update	Update on work during pandemic
Update on the proposed special needs school at Osgodby	To provide an update on the proposal
Work Programme	To consider appropriate topics for consideration at forthcoming meetings taking account of the COVID 19 pandemic and the issues that have arisen.

10am on 11 June 2021

Subject	Description
COVID 19 – Executive update	Update on the County Council's response to the pandemic from Executive Members
Economic recovery from the pandemic	To provide an insight as to how the Constituency area is recovering, economically, from the COVID 19 pandemic.
Flooding issues in the Constituency area	To discuss issues arising from flooding in the area.
Selby Place and Movement Study	To provide details of the findings of the study undertaken in conjunction with the District Council
A19 - Update	To provide an update on the project taking place to repair/rebuild the A19
Work Programme	To consider appropriate topics for consideration at forthcoming meetings

10am on 24 September 2021	
Subject	Description
COVID 19 and LGR – Executive update	Update on the County Council’s response to the pandemic and on the current position regarding LGR from Executive Members
North Yorkshire Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner – Introduction and discussion	The new North Yorkshire Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner, Philip Allott, will attend to introduce himself to Members and undertake a discussion on relative issues with the Committee.
Update on the proposed special needs school at Osgodby	To provide an update on the proposal
Work Programme	To consider appropriate topics for consideration at forthcoming meetings

Scheduled Meeting dates for 2021/22 – all Fridays at 10am:-

Page
34

2021/22

24th September 2021

14th January 2022

8th April 2022

Areas of work previously identified for Inclusion in the Work Programme:

1. Economic Development Strategies
2. Road Safety in the Selby District
3. The “Better Together” Initiative
4. Local enterprise and future investment - LEPs
5. Traffic management and traffic congestion
6. The development of appropriate skills for emerging employment opportunities
7. Fairer Funding
8. Tourism
9. Policing and Crime Statistics
10. New Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner
11. North Yorkshire Fire and Rescue Service - update

Author:

Steve Loach
Democratic Services
March 2021

Page 35

This page is intentionally left blank